UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,110	07/03/2006	Robert Peter Millar	20747/300	4173	
Nixon Peabody	7590 04/14/200	EXAMINER			
Clinton Square		HA, JULIE			
P.O. Box 31051 Rochester, NY 14603-1051			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/552,110	MILLAR, ROBERT PETER		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JULIE HA	1654		

	JULIE HA	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(TINOT KELET WAS TI	LLD WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL	"	9 1 90 1 4 4	6.11			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a) They raise new issues that would require further conto (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>13,14,27 and 28</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>13,14,27 and 28.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,15-26,29-33,35,37,39-41,43 and 4</u>	<u>5-60</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will not	he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	or other evidence is	necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after en	try is below or attach	ed.			
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Please see continuation page.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/J. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 1654	/Anish Gupta/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1654				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

11. continued: The amended claims 1, 45 and 46 raise 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph issues because the recitation "C11, C17 or C21 hydroxy derivative thereof" is indefinite. It is unclear what is meant by C11, C17 or C21 hydroxy derivative, because for example, progesterone does not have a hydroxy at C11, C17 or C21. The amended claims 1, 45-46 indicate that C11, C17 or C21 already has a hydroxyl group, and these groups are modified with the GnRH analogs. Therefore, the amended claims are indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Applicant argues that the amendment directed to "a C11, C17 or C21 hydroxy derivative" was discussed on January 14 and 17, 2008. However, during the conversations held on January 14 and 17, a C11, C17 or C21 alpha-hydroxyprogesterone was discussed. As described above, the amended claims are now unclear since not all of the steroid hormones have C11, C17 or C21 hydroxy groups.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JULIE HA whose telephone number is (571)272-5982. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Julie Ha/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654